Module Name: International Peace and Security

Module Code: PUBLG077

Teaching: 10 hours of lectures, 10 hours of seminars

Credits: 30

Assessment: One 3,000 word essay (50%) + 2 hour unseen written examination (50%)

Essay Deadline/s: 10.1.2018 (by 2pm)

Lecturer: Dr Manuel Vogt

Office Hours: TBC

USEFUL LINKS

PG Student Intranet
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/political-science/intranet/pg
Lecture and Seminar Times:
www.ucl.ac.uk/timetable
Extenuating Circumstances
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/political-science/intranet/pg/pastoral/extenuating_circumstances
Essay Extensions
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/political-science/intranet/pg/essays/extensions
Penalties for Late Submission and Overlength Essays
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/political-science/intranet/pg/essays/lateness_word_penalties
Essay Submission Information
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/political-science/intranet/pg/essays/submission_return
Examinations
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/political-science/intranet/pg/exams-dissertations
Plagiarism and TurnItIn
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/political-science/intranet/pg/policies/plagiarism

Overview and Objectives

The module will introduce you to major themes and debates in the contemporary study of international security, peace and conflict. We will survey a range of issues, including the causes and management of inter-state warfare, terrorism, civil wars, and violence against civilians.

By the end of the module, you should have a good overview of the literature in contemporary security studies. You should also have acquired a set of conceptual tools that can be used for analyzing the causes of violent conflict, the sources of international stability and instability, and the mechanisms of international conflict management and conflict resolution. In addition, you should be familiar with the main issues confronting contemporary policy-makers in the field of international security, and be equipped to conduct independent research on contemporary security issues and debates.

Classroom Policy

The classroom is one of the most important places to learn, engage, develop ideas, and communicate. We should all aim to establish an environment that enhances the academic experience. There are some basic principles we should embrace: 1. Turn off all electronic devices. Please let me know in advance if you want to use an electronic device to take notes. 2. Arrive on time.

Communication

The classroom is the best place to raise questions which are relevant for everybody in the class. The best time to ask short questions that might not be relevant for everybody is after class. The office hours should be dedicated to discuss more in-depth questions and your research project. Unlike other modes of communication, this face-to-face setting allows for interactive discussions, including the possibility to ask questions of clarification. Given these clear advantages, we will not reply to emails that could be resolved (1) in class, (2) after class, or (3) during office hours. If you need to email us about absences from class, please make sure you include the course number and full name in the subject line.

Beyond class activities

UCL has many interesting talks and seminars that pertain to the topics of the class. We will make you aware of interesting events as they come up. A regular seminar that you might want to attend is the Department Seminar. We will notify you on the specific dates as they are published.

Required Text

Please purchase the following book. This is a good primer for the class and covers some of the essential insights.
- Frieden, J. A., Lake, D. A., and Schultz, K. A. (2013). *World politics: Interests, interactions, institutions*. WW Norton New York.

Course Outline

Week 1: Introduction and Overview

During this week we will look at trends in international conflict and identify threats to international security as well as establish the course's working definitions for central concepts such as war and peace.

Core readings:
– Frieden, J. A., Lake, D. A., and Schultz, K. A. (2013). *World politics: Interests, interactions, institutions*. WW Norton New York. Introduction.
– Cederman, L.-E. and Vogt, M. (Forthcoming). Dynamics and Logics of Civil War. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*.
– Kalyvas, S. N. (2006). *The Logic of Violence in Civil War*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Pp. 1-31.
– Pettersson, T. and Wallensteen, P. (2015). Armed conflicts, 1946-2014. *Journal of Peace Research* 52(4):536-550.

Supplementary readings:
- Mitchell, S., Diehl, P., and Morrow, J. (2012). *Guide to the Scientific Study of International Processes*. Guides to International Studies. Wiley. Chapter 1.
- Galtung, J. (1969). Violence, peace and peace research. *Journal of Peace Research*, 5(3):67–91.
- Bremer, S. A. and Cusack, T. R. (1995). *The Process of War: Advancing the Scientific Study of War*. Gordon and Breach, Luxembourg and Philadelphia, PA.
- Levy, J. S. (2002). War and peace. In Carlsnaes, W., Risse, T., and Simmons, B. A., editors, *Handbook of International Relations*. Sage, London.
- Holsti, K. J. (1996). *The state, war, and the state of war*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (Chapters 1-3).
- van Evera, S. (1999). *Causes of War. Power and the Roots of Conflict*. Ithaca.
- Mead, M. (1990). Warfare is only an invention-not a biological necessity. In Vasquez, J. A., editor, *Classics of International Relations*. Engewood Cliffs, 2nd edition.

Week 2: A strategic perspective to international security
What does it mean to be strategic? We explore different ways in which individuals and states can be strategic and how we can represent these strategic relationships in a principled and coherent way. In this week we especially focus on bargaining approaches to conflict, identifying three core mechanisms by which conflict can occur: information problems, commitment problems, and issue indivisibility.

Core readings:
– Frieden, J. A., Lake, D. A., and Schultz, K. A. (2013). *World politics: Interests, interactions, institutions*. WW Norton New York. Chapter 2.
– Fearon, J. D. (1995). Rationalist explanations for war. *International Organization*, 49(3):379–414.
– Powell, R. (2002). Bargaining theory and international conflict. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 5:1–30.
– Hassner, R. (2003). To halve and to hold: Conflicts over sacred space and the problem of indivisibility. *Security Studies*, 12(4):1–33.
The following readings are part of a larger debate. Read the piece by Walt first.
– Walt, S. M. (1999b). Rigor or rigor mortis? Rational choice and security studies. *International Security*, 23(4):5–48.
– Martin, L. L. (1999). The contributions of rational choice: a defense of pluralism. *International Security*, 24(2):74–83.
– Niou, E. M. and Ordeshook, P. C. (1999). Return of the luddites. *International Security*, 24(2):84–96.

Supplementary readings:
– Schelling, T. C. (1960). *The strategy of conflict*. Havard University Press, Cambridge, MA. Pp. 1-52.
– Walter, Barbara F. 1997. The Critical Barrier to Civil War Settlement. *International Organization* 51 (3):335-364.
– Lake, D. A. and Powell, R. (1999). International relations: A strategic-choice approach. In Lake, D. A. and Powell, R., editors, *Strategic choice and international relations*, pp. 3–38. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
– Kydd, A. H. (2005). *Trust and mistrust in international relations*. Princeton University Press. Chapter 4.
– Powell, R. (1999). The modeling enterprise and security studies. *International Security*, 24(2):97–106.
– Walt, S. M. (1999a). A model disagreement. *International Security*, 24(2):115–130.
– Fearon, J. D. and Wendt, A. (2002). Rationalism v. constructivism: A skeptical view. In Carlsnaes, W., Risse, T., and Simmons, B. A., editors, *Handbook of International Relations*. Sage, London.
– Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. Addison-Wesley, Reading. Chapter 6.
– Frieden, J. A., Lake, D. A., and Schultz, K. A. (2013). *World politics: Interests, interactions, institutions*. WW Norton New York. Chapter 3.
– Wagner, R. H. (2000). Bargaining and war. *American Journal of Political Science*, 44(3):469–484.
– Blainey, G. (1973). *The causes of war*. The Free Press, New York.
– Jervis, R. (1976). *Perception and misperception in international politics*, volume 49. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Pp. 1-31.
– Smith, A. and Stam, A. C. (2004). Bargaining and the nature of war. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 48(6):783–813.
– Fey, M. and Ramsay, K. W. (2007). Mutual optimism and war. *American Journal of Political Science*, 51(4):738–754.

Week 3: Ethnicity, Nationalism, and War
In this week we will extend our theoretical perspective to the role of (ethno-)nationalism as a driving force of both international and intra-state warfare in the modern era.

Core readings:
– Weiner, M. (1971). The Macedonian Syndrome: An Historical Model of International Relations and Political Development. *World Politics* 23(4):665-683.
– Miller, B. (2007). *States, Nations, and the Great Powers: The Sources of Regional War and Peace*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. Chapter 2.
– Wimmer, A. and Min B. (2006). From Empire to Nation-State: Explaining Wars in the Modern World, 1816–2001. *American Sociological Review* 71(6):867-897.
– Mansfield, E. D. and Snyder J. (2002). Democratic Transitions, Institutional Strength, and War. *International Organization* 56(2):297 - 337.
– Mann, M. (1999). The Dark Side of Democracy: The Modern Tradition of Ethnic and Political Cleansing. *New Left Review* 235:18-44.

Supplementary readings:
– Gellner, E. (1983). Nations and Nationalism. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. Chapters. 1, 5, and 7.
– Gurr, T. R., Harff B., Marshall, M. G., and Scarritt, J. R. (1993). *Minorities at Risk: A Global View of Ethnopolitical Conflicts*. Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace Press.
– Vogt, M. (Forthcoming). Ethnic Stratification and the Equilibrium of Inequality: Ethnic Conflict in Post-colonial States. *International Organization*.
– Gagnon, V. P. (1994-1995). Ethnic Nationalism and International Conflict: The Case of Serbia. *International Security* 19(3):130-166.
– Saideman, S. M. (1997). Explaining the International Relations of Secessionist Conflicts: Vulnerability Versus Ethnic Ties. *International Organization* 51(4):721-753.
– Shelef, N. G. (2016). Unequal Ground: Homelands and Conflict. *International Organization* 70(01):33-63.
– Goemans, H. E., and Schultz, K. A. (2016). The Politics of Territorial Claims: A Geospatial Approach Applied to Africa. *International Organization* 71(01):31-64.
– Wimmer, A. (1997). Who Owns the State? Understanding Ethnic Conflict in Post-Colonial Societies. *Nations and Nationalism* 3(4):631-665.
– Hechter, M. (1975). *Internal Colonialism: The Celtic Fringe in British National Development, 1536-1966*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
– Hobsbawm, E. J. (1992). *Nations and Nationalism Since 1780: Programme, Myth, Reality*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
– Denny, E. K., and Walter, B. F. 2014. Ethnicity and Civil War. *Journal of Peace Research* 51(2):199-212.

Week 4: Conditions for war: Collective Action Problems, Principal Agent, and Contest
An important aspect of security relations are collective action problems. This week's session discusses how they arise at different levels of analysis. We also examine how delegation and competition induce strategic behavior that affects conflict processes.

Core readings:
- Tilly, C. (1978). *From Mobilization to Revolution*. New York: McGraw-Hill. Chapters 3-4.
- Lichbach, M. I. (1994). Rethinking rationality and rebellion theories of collective action and problems of collective dissent. *Rationality and Society*, 6(1):8–39.
- Kalyvas, S. N. and Kocher, M. A. (2007). How free is free riding in civil wars?: Violence, insurgency, and the collective action problem. *World Politics*, 59(02):177– 216.
- Salehyan, I. (2010). The delegation of war to rebel organizations. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*.

Supplementary readings:
- Olson, M. (1965). *The logic of collective action: Public goods and the theory groups*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Lichbach, M. I. (1995). *The Rebel's Dilemma*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.
- Gates, Scott. 2002. Recruitment and Allegiance: The Microfoundations of Rebellion. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 46(1):111-130.
- Humphreys, M. and Weinstein J. M. (2008). Who Fights? The Determinants of Participation in Civil War. *American Journal of Political Science* 52(2):436–455.
- Wucherpfennig, J., Metternich, N. W., Cederman, L.-E., and Gleditsch, K. S. (2012). Ethnicity, the state, and the duration of civil war. *World Politics*, 64(1):79– 115.
- Siegel, D. A. (2011). When does repression work? Collective action in social networks. *The Journal of Politics*, 73(04):993–1010.
- Wood, E. J. (2003). *Insurgent Collective Action and Civil War in El Salvador*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Petersen, R. D. (2002). *Understanding Ethnic Violence: Fear, Hatred, and Resentment in Twentieth-Century Eastern Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Staniland, P. (2012). Organizing Insurgency: Networks, Resources, and Rebellion in South Asia. *International Security* 37(1):142–177.

Week 5: International Conflict
Having laid out the conceptual foundations, we examine how they can be applied to particular forms of conflict, starting this week with international conflict. Among others, we examine the theory of democratic peace.

Core readings:
- Oneal, J. R., Oneal, F. H., Maoz, Z., and Russett, B. (1996). The liberal peace: Interdependence, democracy, and international conflict, 1950-85. *Journal of Peace Research*, 33(1):11–28.
- Fearon, J. D. (1994). Domestic political audiences and the escalation of international disputes. *American Political Science Review*, 88(03):577–592.
- Weeks, J. L. (2008). Autocratic audience costs: Regime type and signaling resolve. *International Organization*, 62(01):35–64.
- Chiozza, G. and Goemans, H. E. (2004). International conflict and the tenure of leaders: Is war still ex post inefficient? *American Journal of Political Science*, 48(3):604– 619.

– Reed, W. (2003). Information, power, and war. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4):633–641.

Supplementary readings:
– Hegre, H. (2014). Democracy and Armed Conflict. *Journal of Peace Research* 51(2):159-172.
– Gartzke, E., Li, Q., and Boehmer, C. (2001). Investing in the Peace: Economic Interdependence and International Conflict. *International Organization* 55(2):391-438.
– Stein, R. M. (2015). War and Revenge: Explaining Conflict Initiation by Democracies. *American Political Science Review* 109(03):556-573.

Week 6: Civil Wars
This week focuses on civil wars and highlight how we can infer general statements from empirical data. Next to the bargaining perspective introduced in earlier sessions, we will examine the relative weight of grievances and opportunities in explanations of civil war occurrence.

Core readings:
– Walter, B. F. (2009). Bargaining failures and civil war. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 12:243–261.
– Fearon, J. D. and Laitin, D. D. (2003). Ethnicity, insurgency, and civil war. *American Political Science Review*, 97(1):75–90.
– Cederman, L.-E., Wimmer, A., and Min, B. (2010). Why do ethnic groups rebel? New data and analysis. *World Politics*, 62(1):87–119.
– Costalli, S. and Ruggeri A. (2015). Indignation, Ideologies, and Armed Mobilization: Civil War in Italy, 1943–45. *International Security* 40(2):119-157.
– Cunningham, K., Bakke K. M., and Seymour, L. J. M. (2012). Shirts Today, Skins Tomorrow: Dual Contests and the Effects of Fragmentation in Self-Determination Disputes. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 56(1):67-93.

Supplementary readings:
– Sambanis, N. (2004). What Is Civil War?: Conceptual and Empirical Complexities of an Operational Definition. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 48(6):814-858.
– Cunningham, D. E. (2006). Veto players and civil war duration. *American Journal of Political Science*, 50(4):875–892.
– Metternich, N. W., Dorff, C., Gallop, M., Weschle, S., and Ward, M. D. (2013). Antigovernment networks in civil conflicts: How network structures affect conflictual behavior. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(4):892–911.
– Staniland, P. (2012). States, Insurgents, and Wartime Political Orders. *Perspectives on Politics* 10(02):243-264.
– Collier, P. and Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and grievance in civil war. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56:563–595.
– Blattman, C. and Miguel, E. (2010). Civil war. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 48(1):3–57.
– Cederman, L.-E., Weidmann N. B., and Gleditsch, K. S. (2011). Horizontal Inequalities and Ethnonationalist Civil War: A Global Comparison. *American Political Science Review* 105(3):478-495.

– Kalyvas, S. N., and Balcells L. (2010). International System and Technologies of Rebellion: How the End of the Cold War Shaped Internal Conflict. *American Political Science Review* 104(03):415-429.
– Ross, M. (2015). What Have We Learned About the Resource Curse? *Annual Review of Political Science* 18:239-259.
– Paine, J. (2016). Rethinking the Conflict "Resource Curse": How Oil Wealth Prevents Center-Seeking Civil Wars. *International Organization* 70(04):727-761.
– Lujala, P. (2010). The Spoils of Nature: Armed Civil Conflict and Rebel Access to Natural Resources. *Journal of Peace Research* 47(1):15-28.
– Wucherpfennig, J., Metternich, N. W., Cederman, L.-E., and Gleditsch, K. S. (2012). Ethnicity, the state, and the duration of civil war. *World Politics*, 64(1):79–115.
– Stewart, F. (2008). Horizontal Inequalities and Conflict: An Introduction and some Hypotheses. In *Horizontal Inequalities and Conflict: Understanding Group Violence in Multiethnic Societies*, edited by F. Stewart. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan. 3-24.
– Roessler, P. G. (2011). The Enemy Within: Personal Rule, Coups, and Civil War in Africa. *World Politics* 63(2):300-346.
– Gurr, T. R. (1968). Psychological Factors in Civil Violence. *World Politics* 20(2):245-278.
– Wood, E. J. (2003). *Insurgent Collective Action and Civil War in El Salvador*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
– Hegre, H. and Sambanis N. (2006). Sensitivity Analysis of Empirical Results on Civil War Onset. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 50(4):508-535.

Week 7: Terrorism

Terrorism is a common feature in today's wars and also used outside of conventional armed confrontations. In this week's session, we will look at the strategic functions of terrorist strategies, the system and individual-level roots of terrorism, as well as the effects (and effectiveness) of this form of political violence. The supplementary readings cover additional topics, such as the role of religion in contemporary transnational terrorism and the policy responses available to political decision makers.

Core readings:
– Sandler, T. (2014). The Analytical Study of Terrorism. *Journal of Peace Research* 51(2):257-271.
– Kydd, A. H. and Walter, B. F. (2006). The strategies of terrorism. *International Security*, 31(1):49–80.
– Fortna, V. P. (2015). Do Terrorists Win? Rebels' Use of Terrorism and Civil War Outcomes. *International Organization* 69(3):519-556.
– Goodwin, J. (2006). A Theory of Categorical Terrorism. *Social Forces* 84(4):2027-2046.
– McCauley, C. and Moskalenko, S. (2017). Understanding Political Radicalization: The Two-Pyramids Model. *American Psychologist* 72(3): 205-216.

Supplementary Readings:
– Tilly, C. (2004). Terror, Terrorism, Terrorists. *Sociological Theory* 22(1):5-13.

- Rapoport, D. C. (2002). The Four Waves of Rebel Terror and September 11. *Anthropoetics* 8(1): 1-17.
- Shapiro, J. N. (2012). Terrorist Decision-Making: Insights from Economics and Political Science. *Perspectives on Terrorism* 6(4-5):5-20.
- de la Calle, L. and Sanchez-Cuenca, I. (2012). Rebels without a Territory: An Analysis of Nonterritorial Conflicts in the World, 1970-1997. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 56(4):580-603.
- Abrahms, M. and Potter, P. B. K. (2015). Explaining Terrorism: Leadership Deficits and Militant Group Tactics. *International Organization* 69(2):311-342.
- Pape, R. A. (2003). The strategic logic of suicide terrorism. *American Political Science Review*, 97(3):343–361.
- Goodwin, J. (2006). What Do We Really Know About (Suicide) Terrorism? *Sociological Forum* 21(2):315-330.
- Bueno de Mesquita, E. and Dickson, E. S. (2007). The Propaganda of the Deed: Terrorism, Counterterrorism, and Mobilization. *American Journal of Political Science* 51(2):364-381.
- Kydd, A. and Walter, B. F. (2002). Sabotaging the Peace: The Politics of Extremist Violence. *International Organization* 56(2):263-296.
- Thomas, J. (2014). Rewarding Bad Behavior: How Governments Respond to Terrorism in Civil War. *American Journal of Political Science* 58(4):804-818.
- Abrahms, M. (2012). The Political Effectiveness of Terrorism Revisited. *Comparative Political Studies* 45(3):366-393.
- Piazza, J. A. (2008). Incubators of Terror: Do Failed and Failing States Promote Transnational Terrorism? *International Studies Quarterly* 52(3):469–488.
- Braithwaite, A. (2015). Transnational Terrorism as an Unintended Consequence of a Military Footprint. *Security Studies* 24(2):349-375.
- Wilson, M. C. and Piazza, J. A. (2013). Autocracies and terrorism: Conditioning effects of authoritarian regime type on terrorist attacks. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(4):941–955.
- Hegghammer, T. (2013b). Should i stay or should i go? Explaining variation in western jihadists' choice between domestic and foreign fighting. *American Political Science Review*, 107(1).
- Piazza, J. A. (2009). Is Islamist Terrorism More Dangerous?: An Empirical Study of Group Ideology, Organization, and Goal Structure. *Terrorism and Political Violence* 21(1):62-88.
- Isaacs, M. (2016). Sacred Violence or Strategic Faith? Disentangling the Relationship between Religion and Violence in Armed Conflict. *Journal of Peace Research* 53(2):211-225.
- Kalyvas, S. N. (2014). The logic of violence in the Islamic State's war. The Monkey Cage. Online: https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/monkey-cage/wp/2014/07/07/the-logic-of-violence-in-islamic-states-war/
- Moghaddam, F. M. (2005). The Staircase to Terrorism: A Psychological Exploration. *American Psychologist* 60(2):161-169.
- Berrebi, C. and Klor, E. F. (2008). Are Voters Sensitive to Terrorism? Direct Evidence from the Israeli Electorate. *American Political Science Review* 102(3):279-301.
- Hirsch-Hoefler, S., Canetti-Nisim, D., Rapaport, C. and Hobfoll, S. E. (2014). Conflict will Harden your Heart: Exposure to Violence, Psychological Distress, and Peace Barriers in Israel and Palestine. *British Journal of Political Science* 46(4):845-859.

– Gaibulloev, K. and Sandler, T. (2014). An Empirical Analysis of Alternative Ways that Terrorist Groups End. *Public Choice* 160(1-2):25–44.
– Lehrke, J. P. and Schomaker, R. (2016). Kill, Capture, or Defend? The Effectiveness of Specific and General Counterterrorism Tactics Against the Global Threats of the Post-9/11 Era. *Security Studies* 25(4):729-762.

Week 8: Violence against civilians

During this week we will examine the logic of genocide, large-scale violence against civilians, and state repression. While the core readings chiefly focus on the causes of civilian victimization, the supplementary material also includes research on its consequences.

Core readings:
– Davenport, C. (2007). State repression and political order. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 10(1):1–23.
– Valentino, B. A. (2014). Why we kill: The political science of political violence against civilians. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 17:89–103.
– Harff, B. (2003). No lessons learned from the holocaust? Assessing risks of genocide and political mass murder since 1955. *American Political Science Review*, 97(1):57–73.
– Fjelde, H. and Hultman, L. (2014). Weakening the Enemy: A Disaggregated Study of Violence against Civilians in Africa. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 58(7):1230-1257.
– Humphreys, M. and Weinstein, J. M. (2006). Handling and Manhandling Civilians in Civil War. *American Political Science Review* 100(3):429-447.

Supplementary readings:
– Kalyvas, S. N. (2006). *The Logic of Violence in Civil War.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Chapter 6.
– Owens, P. B., Su, Y., and Snow, D. A. (2013). Social Scientific Inquiry Into Genocide and Mass Killing: From Unitary Outcome to Complex Processes. *Annual Review of Sociology* 39(1):69-84.
– Straus, S. (2012). Retreating from the Brink: Theorizing Mass Violence and the Dynamics of Restraint. *Perspectives on Politics* 10(02):343-362.
– Valentino, B., Huth P., and Balch-Lindsay, D. (2004). "Draining the Sea": Mass Killing and Guerrilla Warfare. *International Organization* 58(02):375-407.
– Hagan, J. and Rymond-Richmond, W. (2008). The Collective Dynamics of Racial Dehumanization and Genocidal Victimization in Darfur. *American Sociological Review* 73(6):875–902.
– Salehyan, I., Siroky, D. and Wood, R. M. (2014). External Rebel Sponsorship and Civilian Abuse: A Principal-Agent Analysis of Wartime Atrocities. *International Organization* 68(3):633-661.
– Balcells, L. (2010). Rivalry and Revenge: Violence against Civilians in Conventional Civil Wars. *International Studies Quarterly* 54(2):291–313.
– Wood, R. M. (2010). Rebel Capability and Strategic Violence against Civilians. *Journal of Peace Research* 47(5):601-614.
– Lyall, J. (2009). Does Indiscriminate Violence Incite Insurgent Attacks? Evidence from Chechnya. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53(3):331-362.

- Schutte, S. (Forthcoming). Violence and Civilian Loyalties: Evidence from Afghanistan. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*.
- Cohen, D. K. (2013). Explaining rape during civil war: cross-national evidence (1980– 2009). *American Political Science Review*, 107(03):461–477.
- Siegel, D. A. (2011). When does repression work? Collective action in social networks. *The Journal of Politics*, 73(04):993–1010.
- Moore, W. H. (1998). Repression and dissent: Substitution, context, and timing. *American Journal of Political Science*, 851–873.
- Carey, S. C. and Mitchell, N. J. (2017). Progovernment Militias. *Annual Review of Political Science* 20:127-147.
- Mason, T. D. and Krane, D. A. (1989). The Political Economy of Death Squads: Toward a Theory of the Impact of State-Sanctioned Terror. *International Studies Quarterly* 33(2):175-198.

Week 9: Analyzing conflict III: Transnational Factors
During this week we consider transnational factors that are relevant to issues of peace and security. These include, amongst other, diffusion processes, transnational constellations, ethnic kin, and conflict externalities.

Core readings:
- Forsberg, E. (2016). Transnational Dimensions of Civil Wars: Clustering, Contagion, and Connectedness. In *What Do We Know About Civil Wars?*, edited by Mason, T. D. and McLaughlin Mitchell, S. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield. 75-90.
- Buhaug, H. and Gleditsch, K. S. (2008). Contagion or confusion? Why conflicts cluster in space. *International Studies Quarterly*, 52(2):215–233.
- Cederman, L.-E., Gleditsch, K. S., Salehyan, I., and Wucherpfennig, J. (2013). Transborder ethnic kin and civil war. *International Organization*, 67(02):389–410.
- Salehyan, I. (2008). The externalities of civil strife: Refugees as a source of international conflict. *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(4):787–801.
- Metternich, N. W., Minhas, S., and Ward, M. D. (Forthcoming). Firewall? or Wall on Fire? A Unified Framework of Conflict Contagion and the Role of Ethnic Exclusion. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*.

Supplementary readings:
- Walter, B. F. (2017). The New New Civil Wars. *Annual Review of Political Science* 20(1):469-486.
- Buhaug, H. (2010). Climate not to blame for African civil wars. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(38):16477–16482.
- Salehyan, I. (2007). Transnational rebels: Neighboring states as sanctuary for rebel groups. *World Politics*, 59(2):217–242.
- Gleditsch, K. S. and Beardsley, K. (2004). Nosy neighbors. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 48(3):379–402.
- Kathman, J. D. (2010). Civil war contagion and neighboring interventions1. *International Studies Quarterly*, 54(4):989–1012.
- Salehyan, I. (2009). *Rebels without borders: transnational insurgencies in world politics*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca.
- Salehyan, I. and Gleditsch, K. S. (2007). Refugees and the spread of civil war. *International Organization*, 60(2):335–366.

– Gleditsch, K. S. (2007). Transnational dimensions of civil war. *Journal of Peace Research*, 44(3):293–309.
– Beardsley, K. (2011). Peacekeeping and the contagion of armed conflict. *The Journal of Politics*, 73(04):1051–1064.
– Burke, M. B., Miguel, E., Satyanath, S., Dykema, J. A., and Lobell, D. B. (2009). Warming increases the risk of civil war in Africa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(49):20670–20674.
– Hendrix, C. S. and Glaser, S. M. (2007). Trends and triggers: Climate, climate change and civil conflict in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Political Geography*, 26(6):695–715.

Week 10: Interventions and theory based predictions in international relations
In this last week we analyze possible policy interventions in ongoing conflicts, in particular the role of peacekeeping.

Core readings:
– Fortna, V. P. (2004). Does peacekeeping keep peace? International intervention and the duration of peace after civil war. *International Studies Quarterly*, 48:269–292.
– Fortna, V. P. and Howard, L. M. (2008). Pitfalls and Prospects in the Peacekeeping Literature. *Annual Review of Political Science* 11(1):283-301.
– Hultman, L., Kathman, J. and Shannon, M. (2014). Beyond Keeping Peace: United Nations Effectiveness in the Midst of Fighting. *American Political Science Review* 108(04):737-753.
– Beardsley, K. (2008). Agreement without peace? International mediation and time inconsistency problems. *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(4):723–740.
– Sambanis, N. and Schulhofer-Wohl, J. (2009). What's in a Line? Is Partition a Solution to Civil War? *International Security* 34(2):82-118.
Supplementary readings:
– Doyle, M. W. and Sambanis, N. (2000). International peacebuilding: A theoretical and quantitative analysis. *American Political Science Review*, 94(4):779–801.
– Gilligan, M. J. and Sergenti, E. J. (2008). Do UN interventions cause peace? Using matching to improve causal inference. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*, 3(2):89–122.
– King, G. and Zeng, L. (2001). Improving forecasts of state failure. *World Politics*, 53(4):623–658.
– Kathman, J. D. (2010). Civil war contagion and neighboring interventions1. *International Studies Quarterly*, 54(4):989–1012.
– Balch-Lindsay, D., Enterline, A. J., and Joyce, K. A. (2008). Third-party intervention and the civil war process. *Journal of Peace Research*, 45(3):345–363.
– Stevens, J. (2012). Political scientists are lousy forecasters. *New York Times*, June 23.
– O'Brien, S. P. (2010). Crisis early warning and decision support: Contemporary approaches and thoughts on future research. *International Studies Review*, 12(1):87–104.